


### Q1 How satisfied were you with the registration process?


Answered: 36 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Satisfied	86.11%	31
Satisfied	13.89%	5
Dissatisfied	0.00%	0
Very Dissatisfied	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>36</b>

### Q2 How satisfied were you with the conference materials provided?


Answered: 36 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Satisfied	66.67%	24
Satisfied	33.33%	12
Dissatisfied	0.00%	0
Very Dissatisfied	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>36</b>

### Q3 Overall, how satisfied were you with the speakers/presenters?


Answered: 36 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Satisfied	63.89%	23
Satisfied	33.33%	12
Dissatisfied	2.78%	1
Very Dissatisfied	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>36</b>

### Q4 Overall, how satisfied were you with the conference facilities?


Answered: 36 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Satisfied	58.33%	21
Satisfied	36.11%	13
Dissatisfied	5.56%	2
Very Dissatisfied	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>36</b>

### Q5 How many sessions did you attend?


Answered: 35 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
1-3	8.57% 3
4-7	57.14% 20
8+	34.29% 12
I did not attend any sessions and just found this survey lying around.	0.00% 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>35</b>

### Q6 Did you feel the length of conference sessions were too long, just about right, or too short?


Answered: 35 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses	
Too long	5.71%	2
Just about right	94.29%	33
Too short	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>35</b>

### Q7 The content of conference sessions was appropriate and informative.


Answered: 35 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	42.86%	15
Agree	54.29%	19
Disagree	2.86%	1
Strongly Disagree	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>35</b>

### Q8 The conference was well organized.

Answered: 35 Skipped: 1




Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Strongly Agree	60.00%	21
Agree	40.00%	14
Disagree	0.00%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>35</b>



### Q9 Conference staff was helpful and courteous.

Answered: 35 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	80.00%	28
Agree	20.00%	7
Disagree	0.00%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>35</b>

## Q10 What kinds of sessions would you like to see included at future conferences?

Answered: 26 Skipped: 10

#	Responses	Date
1	The sessions on the funder's perspective are always useful. Budget preparation. Outcomes measurement.	5/1/2014 2:42 PM
2	NA	4/28/2014 1:14 PM
3	New techniques in grant writing Building a budget ethics in grant writing	4/28/2014 11:28 AM
4	Building relationships with grantors, top tips on winning government grants, more tech info and at least one session on creativity (like vision boards)	4/28/2014 8:41 AM
5	Crowd Funding 101 Grant Opportunity Research	4/28/2014 8:18 AM
6	Sessions like Steve Harrington's that show real life examples are great.	4/24/2014 10:46 AM
7	The sessions covered a broad range of topics. More of the same would be great—especially sessions by funders.	4/24/2014 9:32 AM
8	More of the same type of sessions.	4/24/2014 9:31 AM
9	Grant review process; GPCI	4/23/2014 6:53 PM
10	Evaluation/logic models; developing a case for support; intro to government grants for the nonprofit professional/ intro to foundation grants for the gov't professional; work-life balance; career transitions; software options for grant management.	4/23/2014 1:16 PM
11	I would like to see sessions that relate more to specific sections of a grant proposal. ie: needs statements, program outcomes, evaluation plans, budgets, etc. I think having a session on how to successfully use the foundation center's website (or other similar sites) would be helpful. too. I would like to see a separate panel for corporate funders. I think those are the most helpful sessions in the whole conference.	4/23/2014 9:40 AM
12	A session with someone from the Community Foundation for Greater Atlanta about their grant funding as well as their donor advised funds. Also session on how to apply for federal grants.	4/22/2014 6:08 PM
13	Things for consultants are always helpful. Also, case studies are so interesting.	4/22/2014 5:28 PM
14	More career oriented and maybe even a small job fair and/or vendors.	4/22/2014 5:16 PM
15	Some sessions specific to certain types of non-profits (social service, arts and culture, education, etc).	4/22/2014 5:14 PM
16	Program officer from a federal agency to help with insider tips.	4/22/2014 4:59 PM
17	A hands-on workshop on how to pull Census Data.	4/22/2014 4:49 PM
18	Possibly include more funder panels	4/22/2014 4:27 PM
19	I prefer the really data rich sessions - census, technology, book writing - facts, resources and useful pieces of information	4/22/2014 4:20 PM
20	More speakers who work independently, details or examples of well written federal grants	4/22/2014 4:15 PM
21	The interactive content tables at lunch on day two could be expanded; we were just beginning to get going when the lunch/group time was over!	4/22/2014 4:13 PM
22	Since I am in TN I would like to have regional funders represented in the future.	4/22/2014 4:13 PM
23	Data research as it relates to common websites visited. Not just Census training.	4/22/2014 3:55 PM
24	more governmental unit info, perhaps how certain IRS designated units of local government can apply for foundation grants—and give the foundations the backup they need to alleviate the requirement for a 501 (c) (3) designation only.	4/22/2014 3:51 PM

## 2014 Southern Regional Grant Conference Follow-Up

25	Current challenges/overview;, grants to assist baby-boomers; nurturing the next generation of grant professionals; dealing with federal agencies-similarities and differences between them	4/22/2014 3:42 PM
26	More presentations by Danny Blich!	4/22/2014 2:30 PM

## Q11 What did you like most about the conference?

Answered: 29 Skipped: 7

#	Responses	Date
1	It was just as valuable to me as the national conferences	5/1/2014 2:42 PM
2	Meeting everyone!	4/28/2014 1:14 PM
3	meeting the other area grant writers	4/28/2014 11:28 AM
4	variety of speakers and information covered	4/28/2014 8:41 AM
5	Affirmation of grant content I already knew.	4/28/2014 8:18 AM
6	The sessions were great. Networking opportunities were fabulous.	4/24/2014 10:46 AM
7	The sessions were well done and very informative! The Grants 101 was perfect—learned so much! The session by Wiregrass was wonderful. It was nice to learn about the grant process from a funder's perspective. Ms. Alford was informative and honest, which was refreshing.	4/24/2014 9:32 AM
8	The size and the fact most people attending were from the SE. Excellent Conference. I had a great time.	4/24/2014 9:31 AM
9	Meet the Grantmakers and Behind Closed Doors were very good sessions. Also liked the facility.	4/23/2014 6:53 PM
10	The location; the foundation panel; Barbara Alford; the casual, laid-back feel of the conference; networking; lunch with others on 2nd day.	4/23/2014 1:16 PM
11	I thought that the hotel was nice. There were certain sessions that were outstanding, like the foundation panel and the session that Ms. Alford presented. Danny's technology course was helpful, and it sort of morphed into certain sites that can be used for research as well. I thought the key note speech was good, but it was very similar to her Grant 101 session.	4/23/2014 9:40 AM
12	The majority of the presenters were outstanding and presented new and relevant information that I can use on a daily basis.	4/22/2014 6:08 PM
13	The session on the case study in South Carolina was my favorite one.	4/22/2014 5:28 PM
14	Convenience	4/22/2014 5:16 PM
15	The funders panel on day 1.	4/22/2014 5:14 PM
16	Great speakers. I learned so much. So nice to be with other grant professionals that speak the same language as I do. Like having free parking. Thanks! Smart idea of making a welcome bag for snacks.	4/22/2014 4:59 PM
17	The variety of workshop topics offered. And the camaraderie of the conference attendees.	4/22/2014 4:49 PM
18	All of the presentations were great!!!!	4/22/2014 4:49 PM
19	Networking opportunities	4/22/2014 4:27 PM
20	I thought the choice of presentations was a good mix	4/22/2014 4:20 PM
21	Meeting other grant writers and discussing the challenges and perks of their jobs	4/22/2014 4:15 PM
22	Foundation talk on day one - funders panel lunch round table day 2 Fireman's grant presentation - she literally walked us through what the agency is looking for from a proposal. A real insider's viewpoint.	4/22/2014 4:13 PM
23	Loved the sessions on technology and census info. Gail Widner was great. The SIG round tables were good. I met a lot of interesting people. I was glad to see a mix of sessions on private foundations and federal grants. Alma Christian is a good presenter but I heard her in Knoxville so did not attend. I'm so glad I was able to come to this conference. Location was very convenient. Well done!!	4/22/2014 4:13 PM

## 2014 Southern Regional Grant Conference Follow-Up

24	All was good. I especially liked some of the "surprise" content sessions, like the vision boarding and getting published.	4/22/2014 3:55 PM
25	Luncheon discussions with other municipalities as to funding and operations	4/22/2014 3:55 PM
26	learning from my peers	4/22/2014 3:51 PM
27	Tie between the high quality of the workshops and the congeniality of the hosts.	4/22/2014 3:42 PM
28	I thought that it was a very good conference and the Georgia Chapter is well on its way to hosting a great national conference in 2016. Kudos!	4/22/2014 3:39 PM
29	Charles' mother	4/22/2014 2:30 PM


## Q12 What did you like least about the conference?

Answered: 25 Skipped: 11

#	Responses	Date
1	NA	4/28/2014 1:14 PM
2	I could only attend one day.	4/28/2014 11:28 AM
3	construction noise during some presentations, no mikes for larger ballroom made hearing speakers difficult	4/28/2014 8:41 AM
4	N/A	4/28/2014 8:18 AM
5	I wished i could have made all of the sessions.	4/24/2014 9:32 AM
6	Everthing was GREAT!!!	4/24/2014 9:31 AM
7	Speakers in the Ballroom should have been miked.	4/23/2014 6:53 PM
8	A few of the offered sessions seemed odd: vision boards, writing your own book.	4/23/2014 1:16 PM
9	There were a few sessions that weren't too helpful. I think a few of the speakers got too off track when speaking and didn't tie it back in to how their material relates to the grant profession. I think the layout of the ballroom was a little awkward too. It was hard to see/hear the speakers if the room was full and you had to sit in the back. Putting them more in the middle of the room may have helped that.	4/23/2014 9:40 AM
10	I was disappointed in the "Writing Good Goals and Objectives" session. I was hoping it would be a good refresher workshop, but the presenter didn't stay on the topic. The session was not substantive.	4/22/2014 6:08 PM
11	The big room was drafty and often when a door was open, wind came in.	4/22/2014 5:28 PM
12	The quality of speakers was hit and miss. Some were perfect and others not effective at all. I think we should reach outside of our immediate circles or network and pull in speakers that are very experienced at giving presentations to PAYING conference attendees and not their friends. The flip side - the speakers such as the one from SC and the one from AL were absolutely perfect fits as conference speakers.	4/22/2014 5:16 PM
13	Some of the individual presentations were pretty loose and lacking focus – especially the one on goals and objectives.	4/22/2014 5:14 PM
14	I liked all of it.	4/22/2014 4:59 PM
15	I think the only thing that could be improved upon would be the use of microphones in the panel sessions. And for workshops in the larger rooms.	4/22/2014 4:49 PM
16	2nd day start time. Shift it back to 9 am to avoid traffic.	4/22/2014 4:49 PM
17	Little stuff - nothing to complain about.	4/22/2014 4:20 PM
18	We could have used a microphone for some of the speakers	4/22/2014 4:15 PM
19	-0-	4/22/2014 4:13 PM
20	Meet the funders session was too focused on local funders. I did not stay for workshop 1453, couldn't handle her presentation style.	4/22/2014 4:13 PM
21	Noise from jackhammer/construction was a bummer, but whatcha gonna do, no?!	4/22/2014 3:55 PM
22	Hotel location and hotel being under construction	4/22/2014 3:55 PM
23	chairs were very uncomfortable	4/22/2014 3:51 PM
24	No time to linger at the end of the day because of the dash to avoid Atlanta's horrendous rush hour.	4/22/2014 3:42 PM
25	It was spring break and I should have been off work (poor planning on my part)	4/22/2014 2:30 PM

### Q13 Do you plan to attend this conference again next year?

Answered: 34 Skipped: 2



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	79.41% 27
No	2.94% 1
Don't Know	17.65% 6
<b>Total</b>	<b>34</b>

## Q14 In what ways could this conference be improved?


Answered: 16 Skipped: 20

#	Responses	Date
1	Don't assume every attendee is from Georgia.	5/1/2014 2:43 PM
2	NA	4/28/2014 1:15 PM
3	You are totally on the right track.	4/28/2014 11:28 AM
4	more advance publicity, more room available at hotel	4/28/2014 8:42 AM
5	More break out sessions!	4/28/2014 8:19 AM
6	Just continue to offer a broad array of topics	4/23/2014 6:53 PM
7	See above re: session offerings.	4/23/2014 1:18 PM
8	I think we can find a few new speakers and panelist to draw in some new attendees.	4/23/2014 9:41 AM
9	The conference was excellent! I only have some minor improvements to suggest and that concerns making bottled water available during the conference or more pitchers of water and providing healthier breakfasts. Moving the location around to different parts of the city would be interesting.	4/22/2014 6:08 PM
10	Already shared	4/22/2014 5:16 PM
11	Please see answer 12.	4/22/2014 4:50 PM
12	Keep it good by inviting good speakers	4/22/2014 4:24 PM
13	No suggestions	4/22/2014 4:15 PM
14	Maybe a familiarize yourself sheet as to restaurants within walking distance of hotel. I found them by the end of the first day as hotel meals were pricy and not worth the value.	4/22/2014 3:58 PM
15	as a growing conference in a growing field, you are doing great	4/22/2014 3:51 PM
16	We need more volunteers planning the conference!	4/22/2014 2:31 PM



### Q15 Are you a member of the Grant Professionals Association (select all that apply)?

Answered: 34 Skipped: 2




Answer Choices	Responses
National Chapter	79.41% 27
Georgia Chapter	55.88% 19
Don't Know	0.00% 0
None of the above	20.59% 7
Other State Chapter (please specify)	17.65% 6
<b>Total Respondents: 34</b>	

#	Other State Chapter (please specify)	Date
1	Kentucky	5/1/2014 2:43 PM
2	South Carolina	4/24/2014 9:32 AM
3	SC	4/22/2014 8:44 PM
4	North Florida	4/22/2014 4:13 PM
5	Maryland and National Capital Area	4/22/2014 3:43 PM
6	South Carolina	4/22/2014 3:40 PM

### Q16 Are you a member of any other grant-related professional associations?

Answered: 34 Skipped: 2




Answer Choices	Responses
No	85.29% 29
Yes (please specify)	14.71% 5
<b>Total</b>	<b>34</b>

#	Yes (please specify)	Date
1	CASE for higher education	4/28/2014 11:29 AM
2	AFP	4/28/2014 8:42 AM
3	Association of Fundraising Professionals	4/23/2014 1:18 PM
4	National Grants Management Association	4/22/2014 4:28 PM
5	Florida Council for Resource Development	4/22/2014 4:13 PM

### Q17 How did you hear about this Conference (please check all that apply)?

Answered: 34 Skipped: 2




Answer Choices	Responses
Personal invitation from a friend/colleague	29.41% 10
Email from friend/colleague	8.82% 3
Email blast from Grant Professionals Association	41.18% 14
Email blast from the Georgia Grant Professionals Association	44.12% 15
Announcement at a GGPA Chapter meeting	32.35% 11
Announcement posted on the www.GGPA.org website	32.35% 11
Post on LinkedIn	5.88% 2
Post on Facebook	11.76% 4
Post on Twitter	0.00% 0
Other (please specify)	5.88% 2
<b>Total Respondents: 34</b>	

## 2014 Southern Regional Grant Conference Follow-Up

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	Conference Committee Member	4/24/2014 10:46 AM
2	GRPA.org	4/23/2014 10:38 AM

**Q18 Unfortunately we were not able to collect workshop follow-up surveys from "What have you learned Grasshopper? Confessions and Musings of a Grant Professional," presented by Gail Widner. Did you attend this presentation?**


Answered: 33 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	69.70%	23
No	30.30%	10
<b>Total</b>		<b>33</b>

### Q19 Overall, how satisfied were you with this workshop?


Answered: 23 Skipped: 13



Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Dissatisfied	0.00%	0
Dissatisfied	0.00%	0
Satisfied	43.48%	10
Very Satisfied	56.52%	13
<b>Total</b>		<b>23</b>

**Q20 The content of this workshop was appropriate and informative.**

Answered: 23 Skipped: 13



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Disagree	0.00%	0
Disagree	0.00%	0
Agree	52.17%	12
Strongly Agree	47.83%	11
<b>Total</b>		<b>23</b>

## Q21 What did you like most about this workshop?

Answered: 19 Skipped: 17

#	Responses	Date
1	incredibly engaging speaker, very warm and speaking from long-term experience	4/28/2014 8:44 AM
2	Gail's story telling and ability to put the audience at ease.	4/28/2014 8:21 AM
3	Gail's personality shines through when she teachers. She makes even the oxford comma discussion exciting!	4/24/2014 10:47 AM
4	Very organized, very interesting! Lots of things learned at this session. Loved her Grants 101 as well!	4/24/2014 9:34 AM
5	She was an engaging speaker and seemed to have good insights into the grantwriting profession.	4/23/2014 6:56 PM
6	Pearls of wisdom from Gail.	4/23/2014 1:19 PM
7	I felt she made some very good points and she has a good sense of humor.	4/23/2014 9:43 AM
8	Lots of useful, nuts and bolts information presented in an entertaining and engaging manner.	4/22/2014 6:13 PM
9	I liked the parts about English language usage.	4/22/2014 5:29 PM
10	Gail's delivery makes the material easier to retain.	4/22/2014 4:52 PM
11	The topic. it was a good overview.	4/22/2014 4:50 PM
12	The speaker and the information	4/22/2014 4:29 PM
13	The presenter is funny and knowledgeable	4/22/2014 4:26 PM
14	Gail is very humorous, yet extremely knowledgeable. She is educated, but down to earth as a presenter. I found this most refreshing	4/22/2014 4:17 PM
15	Gail's engaging, interactive, down-to-earth presentation style. Good info.	4/22/2014 4:14 PM
16	She is a great presenter, and it's wonderful that she reminds us of the proper use of mechanics in English.	4/22/2014 4:13 PM
17	Light but meaningful way to close out conference.	4/22/2014 3:56 PM
18	Gail	4/22/2014 3:53 PM
19	The humor illuminating the very useful content.	4/22/2014 3:44 PM



## Q22 What did you like least about this workshop?

Answered: 12 Skipped: 24

#	Responses	Date
1	seemed more emphasis on grammar than overall lessons learned in the grant profession,	4/28/2014 8:44 AM
2	N/A	4/28/2014 8:21 AM
3	Not long enough.	4/24/2014 10:47 AM
4	Not enough time!	4/24/2014 9:34 AM
5	I left when she got into the weeds about comma usage. I certainly understand the value of proper punctuation but she just seemed to get way off track.	4/23/2014 6:56 PM
6	I also attended the Grants 101 session, and she said many of the same things in that session as well.	4/23/2014 9:43 AM
7	I thought some of the parts about putting together a proposal would have already been known to most attendants.	4/22/2014 5:29 PM
8	Nothing.	4/22/2014 4:52 PM
9	It was more entertaining than informative	4/22/2014 4:26 PM
10	too short!	4/22/2014 4:13 PM
11	when it was over	4/22/2014 3:53 PM
12	It was at the end!	4/22/2014 3:44 PM


## Q23 What kinds of changes would improve this workshop?

Answered: 11 Skipped: 25

#	Responses	Date
1	clearer definition of keynote speaker--usually more focus on inspiration	4/28/2014 8:44 AM
2	Larger room.	4/28/2014 8:21 AM
3	If she wants to present a workshop on grammar, punctuation and/or editing, then that should be her focus for the entire workshop.	4/23/2014 6:56 PM
4	I think she is an excellent speaker, but if she could have focused on something slightly different from the other session, I think I would have enjoyed it even more.	4/23/2014 9:43 AM
5	Can't think of anything. Gail Widner was one of the best presenters at the conference.	4/22/2014 6:13 PM
6	More English language talk.	4/22/2014 5:29 PM
7	N/A	4/22/2014 4:52 PM
8	more structured information instead of "oversight of a career"	4/22/2014 4:26 PM
9	Nothing	4/22/2014 4:17 PM
10	-0-	4/22/2014 4:13 PM
11	more in-depth knowledge. Some of the classes are still geared to the brand-new grant professional.	4/22/2014 3:53 PM

**Q24 We would like to gather some feedback about the Funders Panel featuring representatives from the Arthur M. Blank Family Foundation, the JP Morgan Chase Foundation, and the Delta Community Credit Union. Did you attend this session?**


Answered: 33 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	96.97%	32
No	3.03%	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>33</b>

### Q25 Overall, how satisfied were you with the Funders Panel?


Answered: 31 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Dissatisfied	3.23%	1
Dissatisfied	3.23%	1
Satisfied	38.71%	12
Very Satisfied	54.84%	17
<b>Total</b>		<b>31</b>

**Q26 The content of this session was appropriate and informative.**

Answered: 31 Skipped: 5



Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Disagree	6.45%	2
Disagree	0.00%	0
Agree	45.16%	14
Strongly Agree	48.39%	15
<b>Total</b>		<b>31</b>

## Q27 What did you like most about this session?

Answered: 25 Skipped: 11

#	Responses	Date
1	Its always great to hear what funders are thinking. I appreciated the cross-sector selection of panelists.	5/1/2014 2:45 PM
2	NA	4/28/2014 1:16 PM
3	Hearing directly from the foundation staff.	4/28/2014 11:30 AM
4	information freely share from panelists	4/28/2014 8:45 AM
5	Moderator Kimberly Hays	4/28/2014 8:23 AM
6	Always nice to learn about the grant process from the funder's perspective. These funders were honest, clear and concise with their info. Love how the three funders where different in size and scope and how they operated. It was good to hear the differences.	4/24/2014 9:42 AM
7	I think it's great anytime you can get personal insight from those involved in grantmaking, even if your agency is not eligible for a particular panelist's funding. The presenters were very open and candid.	4/23/2014 6:59 PM
8	I appreciated the panelists candor about the grantmaking process.	4/23/2014 1:37 PM
9	Hearing directly from the panel members.	4/23/2014 1:19 PM
10	I felt you had a good representation on the panel. This panel was my favorite part of the last panel as well. It's the main reason why I come to the conference.	4/23/2014 9:45 AM
11	The speakers were good representatives of three different kinds of foundations, so I could get ideas on approaching funders in my own geographic area	4/22/2014 8:47 PM
12	It provided some general tips that can be helpful in applying to any foundation.	4/22/2014 6:20 PM
13	Interesting group of people.	4/22/2014 5:32 PM
14	I didn't know that the grants were already decided by the time the grantee sent in the application. Good information	4/22/2014 5:09 PM
15	The openness of the speakers.	4/22/2014 4:53 PM
16	Good cross-section of funders demonstrated they all were not interested in the same things.	4/22/2014 4:51 PM
17	The information and the openness of the panelists	4/22/2014 4:29 PM
18	Well spoken well versed presenters, represented a good mix - strong Q&A section	4/22/2014 4:27 PM
19	Information provided to get to these funders	4/22/2014 4:18 PM
20	Content was appropriate for people from the GA chapter.	4/22/2014 4:17 PM
21	Clarity about how they view inquiries and proposals.	4/22/2014 4:14 PM
22	Provided direct field knowledge about foundations which was useful to provide to my organization.	4/22/2014 3:59 PM
23	diversity of funding agencies/initiatives, and frankness of panel members	4/22/2014 3:54 PM
24	Accessibility and responsiveness of the panel members	4/22/2014 3:46 PM
25	I like hearing from funders about their perspective of the grant process	4/22/2014 3:43 PM

## Q28 What did you like least about this session?

Answered: 19 Skipped: 17

#	Responses	Date
1	More national funders would have been great -- I appreciated hearing from Chase because they are not Georgia-specific.	5/1/2014 2:45 PM
2	NA	4/28/2014 1:16 PM
3	N/A	4/28/2014 11:30 AM
4	hard to hear	4/28/2014 8:45 AM
5	Representative from Blank Foundation	4/28/2014 8:23 AM
6	N/A	4/23/2014 6:59 PM
7	The panel was diverse.	4/23/2014 1:37 PM
8	The layout of the room made it hard to see/hear at times.	4/23/2014 9:45 AM
9	The foundations represented do not provide funding for the particular program area my organization represents.	4/22/2014 6:20 PM
10	I think the layout of the room was a bit off. The table of panelists was not as visible as it could have been. Perhaps next time arrange the room "landscape" style.	4/22/2014 5:32 PM
11	Would like information from agencies that give more grants with less funds for each grant.	4/22/2014 5:09 PM
12	It was difficult to hear and see from the back of the room.	4/22/2014 4:53 PM
13	I suppose not having mics	4/22/2014 4:27 PM
14	Couldn't hear all that they were saying	4/22/2014 4:18 PM
15	Localized to the Atlanta area.	4/22/2014 4:17 PM
16	-	4/22/2014 4:14 PM
17	too short	4/22/2014 3:54 PM
18	Room logistics. Difficult to see.	4/22/2014 3:46 PM
19	The session applied to many of the attendees in Georgia as it should have, but I am from SC and two of the funders are not interested in funding projects here. It just was not applicable to my situation, but I am cool with that.	4/22/2014 3:43 PM

## Q29 What kinds of changes would improve this work session?


Answered: 15 Skipped: 21

#	Responses	Date
1	NA	4/28/2014 1:16 PM
2	It was perfectly fine, better than most.	4/28/2014 11:30 AM
3	mikes for presenters and moderator	4/28/2014 8:45 AM
4	Larger room. Set up of room "in the round"	4/28/2014 8:23 AM
5	My issue was less about the session itself and more about the facility - the room was large enough that their should have been audio support.	4/23/2014 6:59 PM
6	Make it longer so there is time for more questions.	4/23/2014 1:19 PM
7	I would have the moderator ask a few of the typical questions first to get them started, then go into the group's questions.	4/23/2014 9:45 AM
8	Include some banks that handle grants from family foundations as well as the bank's own foundation and do not require an invitation to apply.	4/22/2014 6:20 PM
9	Good speakers and good info. Maybe more variety of funders.	4/22/2014 5:09 PM
10	Just microphones, and maybe raised seating for the panelists.	4/22/2014 4:53 PM
11	can the panels be on raised platform?	4/22/2014 4:27 PM
12	Get a microphone	4/22/2014 4:18 PM
13	More regional funders.	4/22/2014 4:17 PM
14	-	4/22/2014 4:14 PM
15	longer	4/22/2014 3:54 PM



**Q30 On Day 2 of the Conference there was a lunch featuring Special Interest Groups (SIG) table topics led by GGPA chapter members. Did you attend this lunch?**


Answered: 33 Skipped: 3



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	75.76%	25
No	24.24%	8
<b>Total</b>		<b>33</b>

### Q31 What SIG table did you spend most of your lunch time at?


Answered: 24 Skipped: 12



Answer Choices	Responses
Consulting	25.00% 6
Healthcare	16.67% 4
Higher Education	12.50% 3
K-12 Education	4.17% 1
Government	16.67% 4
Non-Profit	25.00% 6
<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>

### Q32 How satisfied were you with the discussion?


Answered: 24 Skipped: 12



Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Dissatisfied	4.17%	1
Dissatisfied	4.17%	1
Satisfied	50.00%	12
Very Satisfied	41.67%	10
<b>Total</b>		<b>24</b>

### Q33 Would you like to have SIG discussions at lunch or other times during next year's conference?

Answered: 25 Skipped: 11



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	92.00%	23
No	8.00%	2
<b>Total</b>		<b>25</b>

**Q34 What kind of changes to the SIG sessions would you like to see? (e.g. Different types of SIGs, a different format for discussion, different time, etc.)**

Answered: 12 Skipped: 24

#	Responses	Date
1	The chance to visit more than one SIG table	4/28/2014 1:16 PM
2	may need to break out non-profits by categories–human services, the arts, etc	4/28/2014 8:46 AM
3	Hard to speak to everyone / hear everyone with a big SIG group.	4/24/2014 10:48 AM
4	I think it was fine to divide everyone up, but I don't think it needs to be as structured, with prepared questions, etc. I think we all sort of naturally started talking about our organizations, trends, etc. without having to be prompted.	4/23/2014 9:47 AM
5	A couple of different times for SIG sessions.	4/22/2014 6:21 PM
6	A little hard to hear everyone around a large table in a noisy room. Maybe have the tables more separated?	4/22/2014 5:33 PM
7	More organized discussion. It was really kind of open	4/22/2014 5:19 PM
8	We could have used more time.	4/22/2014 4:54 PM
9	Maybe have the SIGs during breakfast	4/22/2014 4:30 PM
10	An assigned volunteer to help lead/generate conversation at each table.	4/22/2014 4:28 PM
11	longer time	4/22/2014 4:15 PM
12	I didn't recognize much of a format, just a general discussion, which was fine.	4/22/2014 3:55 PM